1st July 1957]

Sri S. PAKKIRISWAMI PILLAI : தோல் சாமான்கள் செய்யும் தொழிற்சாலையில் அருந்ததிய இனத்தவர்களை சேர்ந்த அநேகரை வேலையில் அமர்த்தி வைக்கவில்லை என்றும் ஏற்கனவே அமர்த்தி வைத்திருந்த ஆள்களையும் நீக்கிவிட்டிருப்பதாகவும் புகார்கள் சொல்லப்படுவது உண்மையா ?

The Hon. Sri R. VENKATARAMAN : இந்த தோல் பதனிடும் சாலையில் எவ்வளவு பேர்கள் வேலையில் இருக்கின்றனர், அதில் எவ்வளவு பேர்கள் அருந்ததிய சமூகத்தினர் என்றுள்ள புள்ளிவிவரங்களை கொடுக்கிறேன். மெஷனரி ஆப்ரேட்டர்கள் வேலையில் 60—80 ரூபாய் ஸ்கெலில் சம்பளம், பஞ்சப்படியும் உண்டு. இதில் மொத்தம் எண்ணிக்கை 5, அருந்ததிய சமூகத்தினர் 4, ஆதி திராவிடர் ஒன்று. அடுத்ததாக ஸ்கில்டு காப்ளார்ஸ் சம்பளம் தினம் ஒன்றுக்கு மூன்று ரூபாய், மொத்த எண்ணிக்கை 7, இதில் அருந்ததிய சமூகத்தினர் 6, முஸ்லீம் ஒன்று. தினசரி வேலையில் மொத்தம் எண்ணிக்கை 31, அதில் அருந்ததிய சமூகத்தினர் 23, பிற்போக்கு சமூகத்தினர் 8. இது தவிர 20 பேர்களுக்கு டிரெயினிங் நடந்து கொண்டிருக்கின்றது என்று சொன்னேன். அந்த 20 பேர்களில் அருந்ததிய சமூகத்தார் 19 பேர்கள், ஆங்கிலோ இந்தியர் ஒருவர்.

Construction of Culverts.

* 42 Q.—Sri P. G. MANICKKAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Public Works be pleased to state the steps taken for the construction of culverts over the two streams near Kavandapadi and Salangapalayam villages in Bhavani taluk, Coimbatore district ?

The Hon. Sri P. KAKKAN : The two crossings referred to by the Member are fordable except during extraordinary heavy floods. The construction of culverts at the two places is not therefore urgent and the question of their execution will be taken up, if necessary, in due course.

Mr. SPEAKER : Questions are over.

[*Note.*—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—MOTION *RE* RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BUSINESS ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Mr. SPEAKER : I have to inform the House that the Business Advisory Committee met on the 29th June and considered the order in which the Demands for Grants should be taken up for voting and also the time to be allotted for each Demand. The recommendations of the Business Advisory Committee have been circulated to the Members.

The Hon. the Leader of the House mentioned to me the necessity for altering slightly the order, as he and the Home Minister may not be in Madras on the 11th. I have agreed to this slight variation. A motion will be moved by the Hon. the Leader under Rule 154 (1) and Rule 192 of the Madras Assembly Rules that the House agrees with the recommendations of the Business Advisory Committee regarding the order in which the Demands for Grants are to be taken up and the time to be allotted for the discussion of each Demand.

I have also to inform the House that under Rule 161 (2) (iii) of the Assembly Rules, notices of cut motions should reach the

Secretary, Legislature Department, before 3 p.m. on Wednesday, the 3rd July 1957. No exception will be made to this rule on any account.

I have also to state that it was agreed that the Hon. the Leader of the House and the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition will make their speeches on the 5th morning, the Leaders of the other Parties and one representative of the Independents will make their speeches in the latter half of the 4th, and that all other Members will make their speeches in the four days. To-day, the debate will be initiated by an hon. Member from the Opposition. It was agreed that he should take 20 minutes. It was agreed that the Leaders of the various Parties here will take 20 minutes each. It was also agreed that the Hon. the Leader of the Opposition will take 30 minutes, if necessary, and that the Finance Minister will ultimately reply. Last time, there was a general complaint that enough time was not given to Members to express their views. I hope this time there would not be any room for such complaint, and that as many Members as possible will have an opportunity to speak. My only appeal to Members would be to restrict their speeches to ten minutes each, for Members must realise that if they speak for ten minutes each, two can speak instead of one in 20 minutes.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Sir, I move :

" That this House agrees with the recommendations of the Business Advisory Committee regarding the order in which the Demands for grants are to be taken up and the time to be allotted for the discussion of each Demand subject to this alteration, namely, that after items 1 and 2 items 4 and 5 be taken up as items 3 and 4 and item 3 be taken up as item 5."

The order in which it has been decided to take up the Demands by the Business Advisory Committee has been given in the list. I suggest that after items 1 and 2, the item shown as 4 may be taken up. Item 4 will then become item 3, item 5 will become item 4, and item 3 will become item 5. There is no other change. This change has become necessary not because we would not be in Madras, but we will be attending the Zonal Council meeting and will be otherwise engaged.

MR. SPEAKER : Motion moved :

" That this House agrees with the recommendations of the Business Advisory Committee regarding the order in which the Demands for grants are to be taken up and the time to be allotted for the discussion of each Demand subject to this alteration, namely, that after items 1 and 2 items 4 and 5 be taken up as items 3 and 4 and item 3 be taken up as item 5."

SRI S. NAGARAJA MONIGAR: இதில் 12-ஆவதாக குறிப்பிட்டிருக்கும் பகுதியை 3-ஆவதாக எடுத்துக்கொண்டால் சௌகரியமாக இருக்கும்.

MR. SPEAKER : May I suggest that individual Members should have put forward their views through the leaders of their

1st July 1957] [Mr. Speaker]

parties before the Business Advisory Committee? It would not be correct for this House to change the decisions arrived at by the Leaders of parties unless they agree unanimously.

The question is :

"That this House agrees with the recommendations of the Business Advisory Committee regarding the order in which the Demands for Grants are to be taken up and the time to be allotted for the discussion of each Demand subject to this alteration, namely, that after items 1 and 2, items 4 and 5 be taken up as items 3 and 4, and item 3 be taken up as item 5."

The motion was put and carried.

SRI K. VINAYAKAM : I rise on a point of information, Sir. Apart from the leaders of the various parties, the Leader of the Opposition, and the Leader of the House, regarding the ordinary Members you have been kind enough to allow ten minutes each. Will this time-limit remain static for four days or will it get reduced as we advance, for example, ten minutes on the first day, 5 minutes on the second day, three minutes on the third day, and 'nil' minutes on the fourth day. Would you get back to this old system or stick to this time-limit of ten minutes?

MR. SPEAKER : I wish to assure the hon. Member that I shall try as far as possible to allow ten minutes for each Member. But in the exigencies of discussion we may have to modify this resolution. I do hope that I shall be able to give ten minutes to each hon. Member. I hope I shall have the fullest co-operation of all the hon. Members. At the end of eight minutes I would ring the bell, and I would request the hon. Member to sit down at the end of ten minutes and not proceed further. The answer to Mr. Vinayakam's point depends very largely on the members of this House itself.

SRI T. T. DANIEL : On a point of order, Sir. During the general discussion on the Budget, do not the hon. Members have the right to ask certain points so that they may be clarified by the Hon. the Finance Minister and the discussion may be appropriate on those points.

MR. SPEAKER : Every hon. Member is at liberty to make any suggestion in the course of his speech. The Hon. the Finance Minister will take notes, and I hope he will reply to the criticisms and suggestions made by every hon. Member. But it is not the practice for every Member to convert this Budget discussion into question-hour and ask the Finance Minister for clarification of any points at the beginning of the debate or in the course of the debate. I am sure if the hon. Member makes really valuable suggestions, the Hon. the Finance Minister will take note of them and answer them in his reply.